

LORDSWOOD GIRLS' SCHOOL & SIXTH FORM CENTRE

This policy is called:	Non-examination assessment policy
It applies to:	Lordswood Girls' School and Sixth Form Centre
Person responsible for its revision:	Assistant Headteacher - Curriculum
Status:	Statutory
Website	External website and staff launch page
Approval by:	Governing Body
Review frequency:	Annually and updated as required
Date of ratification:	January 2022
Date of next review:	January 2023

Key staff involved in the conduct of non-examination assessments

Role	Name(s)	
Headteacher	Kerry Cooney	
Quality assurance lead internal verifier	Deborah Muggleton, Louise Venn, Raj Waraich, Saema Kauser	
QN for Btec	Loveena Verma	
SLT member(s)	Loveena Verma, Karen Adamson, Lynne Finucane, Clayre Yorke	
SENCo	Lisa Colvin-Grieve	
Assessment & Data Manager	Alison Evans	
Examinations Officer	Alison Evans	

Contents

•	Key staff involved in the conduct of non-examination assessments	2
•	What does this policy affect?	4
٠	Purpose of the policy	4
٠	What are non-examination assessments?	4
•	Procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments identifying staff roles and responsibilities	4
The b	pasic principles	4
Task	setting	5
Issuir	ng of tasks	5
Task	taking	6
٠	Supervision	6
•	Advice and feedback	6
•	Resources	6
•	Word and time limits	7
٠	Collaboration and group work	7
•	Authentication procedures	7
٠	Presentation of work	7
٠	Keeping materials secure	7
Task	marking – externally assessed components	8
•	Conduct of externally assessed work	8
Task	marking – internally assessed components	8
•	Marking and annotation	8
٠	Internal standardisation	9
٠	Consortium arrangements	9
٠	Submission of marks and work for moderation	. 10
•	Storage and retention of work after submission of marks	. 11
•	External moderation – the process	. 11
•	External moderation – feedback	. 11
Acce	ss arrangements	. 11
Spec	ial consideration and loss of work	. 12
Malp	ractice	. 12
Post-	results services	. 13
Pract	ical Skills Endorsement for the A Level Sciences designed for use in England	. 13
Spok	en Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England	. 14
Priva	te candidates	. 15

What does this policy affect?

This policy affects the delivery of subjects of GCE and GCSE qualifications which contain a component(s) of nonexamination assessment.

The regulator's definition of an examination is very narrow and in effect any type of assessment that is not 'externally set and taken by candidates at the same time under controlled conditions' is classified as nonexamination assessment (NEA). 'NEA' therefore includes, but is not limited to, internal assessment. Externally marked and/or externally set practical examinations taken at different times across centres are classified as 'NEA'.

Purpose of the policy

The purpose of this policy, as defined by JCQ, is to

- cover procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments
- define staff roles and responsibilities with respect to non-examination assessments
- manage risks associated with non-examination assessments

The policy will need to cover all types of non-examination assessment

What are non-examination assessments?

Non-examination assessments measure subject-specific knowledge and skills that cannot be tested by timed written papers.

There are three assessment stages and rules which apply to each stage. These rules often vary across subjects. The stages are:

- task setting;
- task taking;
- task marking

Procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments identifying staff roles and responsibilities

The basic principles

Head of centre

- Provides a signed declaration as part of the National Centre Number Register Annual Update to confirm awareness of and that relevant centre staff are adhering to the latest version of <u>NEA</u>
- Ensures the centre's non-examination assessment policy is fit for purpose
- Ensures the centre's *internal appeals procedures* clearly detail the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against internal assessment decisions (centre assessed marks) and requesting a review of the centre's marking

Senior leaders

- Ensure the correct conduct of non-examination assessments (including endorsements) which comply with <u>NEA</u> and awarding body subject-specific instructions
- Ensure the centre-wide calendar records assessment schedules by the start of the academic year

Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

- Confirms with subject heads that appropriate awarding body forms and templates for nonexamination assessments (including endorsements) are used by teachers and candidates
- Ensures appropriate procedures are in place to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers in line with awarding body criteria
- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information given to candidates by subject teachers
- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information is received and understood by candidates
- Where not provided by the awarding body, ensures a centre-devised template is provided for candidates to keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

Lead Professional

- Ensures subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities within the non-examination assessment process
- Ensures <u>NEA</u> and relevant awarding body subject specific instructions are followed in relation to the conduct of non-examination assessments (including endorsements)
- Works with the QA lead/Lead internal verifier to ensure appropriate procedures are followed to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers

Subject teacher

- Understands and complies with the general instructions as detailed in <u>NEA</u>
- Where these may also be provided by the awarding body, understands and complies with the awarding body's specification for conducting non-examination assessments, including any subject-specific instructions, teachers' notes or additional information on the awarding body's website
- Marks internally assessed work to the criteria provided by the awarding body
- Ensures the exams officer is provided with relevant entry codes for subjects (whether the entry for the internally assessed component forms part of the overall entry code for the qualification or is made as a separate unit entry code) to the internal deadline for entries

Exams officer

- Signposts the annually updated JCQ publication <u>NEA</u> to relevant centre staff
- Carries out tasks where these may be applicable to the role in supporting the administration/management of non-examination assessment

Task setting

Subject teacher

- Selects tasks to be undertaken where a number of comparable tasks are provided by the awarding body OR designs tasks where this is permitted by criteria set out within the subject specification
- Makes candidates aware of the criteria used to assess their work

Issuing of tasks

Subject teacher

- Determines when set tasks are issued by the awarding body
- Identifies date(s) when tasks should be taken by candidates
- Accesses set tasks in sufficient time to allow planning, resourcing and teaching and ensures that materials are stored securely at all times

• Ensures requirements for legacy specification tasks and new specification tasks are distinguished between

Task taking

Supervision

Subject teacher

- Checks the awarding body's subject-specific requirements ensuring candidates take tasks under the required conditions and supervision arrangements
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to enable the work of a candidate to be authenticated
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to ensure the work a candidate submits is their own
- Is confident where work may be completed outside of the centre without direct supervision, that the work produced is the candidate's own.
- Where candidates may work in groups, keeps a record of each candidate's contribution
- Ensures candidates are aware of the current JCQ documents <u>Information for candidates non-</u> examination assessments and <u>Information for candidates - Social Media</u>
- Ensures candidates understand and comply with the regulations in relevant JCQ documents Information for candidates

Advice and feedback

Subject teacher

- As relevant to the subject/component, advises candidates on relevant aspects before candidates begin working on a task
- Will not provide candidates with model answers or outlines/headings specific to the task
- When reviewing candidates' work, unless prohibited by the specification, provides oral and written advice at a general level to candidates
- Allows candidates to revise and re-draft work after advice has been given at a general level
- Records any assistance given beyond general advice and takes it into account in the marking or submits it to the external examiner
- Ensures when work has been assessed, candidates are not allowed to revise it

Resources

Subject teacher

- Refers to the awarding body's specification and/or associated documentation to determine if candidates have restricted/unrestricted access to resources when planning and researching their tasks
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are known and put in place
- Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to keep the work to be assessed, and any preparatory work, secure between any formally supervised sessions, including work that is stored electronically
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are understood and followed by candidates
- Ensures candidates understand that they are not allowed to introduce improved notes or new resources between formally supervised sessions
- Ensures that where appropriate to include references, candidates keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

Word and time limits

Subject teacher

• Refers to the awarding body's specification to determine where word and time limits apply/are mandatory

Collaboration and group work

Subject teacher

- Unless stated otherwise in the awarding body's specification, and where appropriate, allows candidates to collaborate when carrying out research and preparatory work
- Ensures that it is possible to attribute assessable outcomes to individual candidates
- Ensures that where an assignment requires written work to be produced, each candidate writes up their own account of the assignment
- Assesses the work of each candidate individually

Authentication procedures

Subject teacher

- Where required by the awarding body's specification
 - ensures candidates sign a declaration confirming the work they submit for final assessment is their own unaided work
 - signs the teacher declaration of authentication confirming the requirements have been met
- Keeps signed candidate declarations on file until the deadline for requesting reviews of results has passed or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later
- Provides signed candidate declarations where these may be requested by a JCQ Centre Inspector
- Where there may be doubt about the authenticity of the work of a candidate or if malpractice is suspected, follows the authentication procedures and malpractice information in <u>NEA</u> and informs a member of the senior leadership team

Presentation of work

Subject teacher

- Obtains informed consent at the beginning of the course from parents/carers if videos or photographs/images of candidates will be included as evidence of participation or contribution
- Instructs candidates to present work as detailed in <u>NEA</u> unless the awarding body's specification gives different subject-specific instructions
- Instructs candidates to add their candidate number, centre number and the component code of the assessment as a header/footer on each page of their work

Keeping materials secure

Subject teacher

- When work is being undertaken by candidates under formal supervision, ensures work is securely stored between sessions (if more than one session)
- When work is submitted by candidates for final assessment, ensures work is securely stored
- Follows secure storage instructions as defined in <u>NEA 4.8</u>
- Takes sensible precautions when work is taken home for marking
- Stores internally assessed work, including the sample returned after awarding body moderation, securely until the closing date for reviews of results or until the outcome of a review or any subsequent appeal has been completed

- Reminds candidates of the need to keep their own work secure at all times and not share completed or partially completed work on-line, on social media or through any other means (the JCQ document Information for candidates – social media should be brought to the attention of candidates)
- Liaises with the IT Manager to ensure that appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access between sessions to candidates' work where work is stored electronically

IT Manager

• Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access between sessions to candidates' work where work is stored electronically

Task marking – externally assessed components

Conduct of externally assessed work

Subject teacher

- Liaises with the exams officer regarding the arrangements for any externally assessed components of a specification which must be conducted within a window of dates specified by the awarding body and according to JCQ Instructions for conducting examinations
- Liaises with the Visiting Examiner where this may be applicable to any externally assessed component

Exams officer

- Arranges timetabling, rooming and invigilation where and if this is applicable to any externally assessed non-examination component of a specification
- Conducts the externally assessed component within the window specified by the awarding body and according to JCQ Instructions for conducting examinations

Submission of work

Subject teacher

• Provides the attendance register to a Visiting Examiner

Exams officer

- Provides the attendance register to the subject teacher where the component may be assessed by a Visiting Examiner
- Ensures the awarding body's attendance register for any externally assessed component is completed correctly to show candidates who are present and any who may be absent
- Where candidates' work must be despatched to an awarding body's examiner, ensures the completed attendance register accompanies the work
- Keeps a copy of the attendance register until after the deadline for reviews of results for the exam series
- Packages the work as required by the awarding body and attaches the examiner address label
- Despatches the work to the awarding body's instructions by the required deadline

Task marking – internally assessed components

Marking and annotation

Head of centre

• Ensures where a teacher teaches his/her own child, a conflict of interest is declared to the awarding body and the marked work of the child submitted for moderation, whether it is part of the moderation sample or not

Lead Professional

 Sets timescales for teachers to inform candidates of their centre-assessed marks that will allow sufficient time for a candidate to appeal an internal assessment decision/request a review of the centre's marking prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body external deadline

Subject teacher

- Attends awarding body training as required to ensure familiarity with the mark scheme/marking process
- Marks candidates' work in accordance with the marking criteria provided by the awarding body
- Annotates candidates' work as required to facilitate internal standardisation of marking and enable external moderation to check that marking is in line with the assessment criteria
- Informs candidates of their marks which could be subject to change by the awarding body moderation process
- Ensures candidates are informed to the timescale set by the subject lead or as indicated in the centre's *internal appeals procedure* to enable an internal appeal/request for a review of marking to be submitted by a candidate and the outcome known before final marks are submitted to the awarding body

Internal standardisation

Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

- Ensures that internal standardisation of marks across assessors and teaching groups takes place as required and to sequence
- Supports staff not familiar with the mark scheme (e.g. EQTs, supply staff etc.)
- Ensures accurate internal standardisation for example by
 - o obtaining reference materials at an early stage in the course
 - o holding a preliminary trial marking session prior to marking
 - \circ $\,$ carrying out further trial marking at appropriate points during the marking period $\,$
 - after most marking has been completed, holds a further meeting to make final adjustments
 - o making final adjustments to marks prior to submission
 - o retaining work and evidence of standardisation
- Retains evidence that internal standardisation has been carried out

Subject teacher

- Indicates on work (or cover sheet) the date of marking
- Marks to common standards
- Keeps candidates work secure until after the closing date for review of results for the series concerned or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later

Consortium arrangements

Lead Professional

- Ensures a consortium co-ordinator is nominated (where this may be required as the consortium lead)
- If the consortium lead, liaises with the exams officer to ensure the awarding body is notified by submission of the Centre consortium arrangements for centre-assessed work (including Spoken Language Endorsements, GCSE English Language) for each exam series affected
- Ensures procedures for internal standardisation as a consortium are followed

Subject teacher

• Provides marks to the exams officer to the internal deadline

- Provides the moderation sample to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- Retains all candidates' work in the consortium until after the deadline for reviews of results for the exam series or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later

Exams officer

- Where the centre is the consortium lead
 - submits the notification of Centre consortium arrangements for centre-assessed work
 - via the awarding body's Centre Admin Portal (CAP) to the deadline for each exam series affected
 - submits marks to the awarding body deadline
 - liaises with other consortium exams officers to arrange despatch of a single moderation sample to the awarding body deadline

Submission of marks and work for moderation

Subject teacher

- Inputs and submits marks online, via the awarding body secure extranet site, keeping a record of the marks awarded, to the external deadline/Provides marks to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors
- Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the awarding body moderator by the external deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted/Provides the moderation sample to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- Ensures that where a candidate's work has been facilitated by a scribe or practical assistant, the relevant completed cover sheet is securely attached to the front of the work and sent to the moderator in addition to the sample requested
- Ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required

Exams officer

- Inputs and submits marks online, via the awarding body secure extranet site, keeping a record of the marks submitted, to the external deadline/Confirms with subject teachers that marks have been submitted to the awarding body deadline
- Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors
- Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the moderator by the awarding body deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted/Confirms with Subject teacher that the moderation sample has been submitted to the awarding body deadline
- Ensures that for postal moderation
- work is dispatched in packaging provided by the awarding body
- o moderator label(s) provided by the awarding body are affixed to the packaging
- \circ proof of dispatch is obtained and kept on file until the successful issue of final results
- Through the subject teacher, ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required

Storage and retention of work after submission of marks

Subject teacher

- Keeps a record of names and candidate numbers for candidates whose work was included in the moderation sample
- Retains all marked candidates' work (including any sample returned after moderation) under secure conditions for the required retention period
- Takes steps to protect any work stored electronically from corruption and has a back-up procedure in place
- Retains evidence of work where retention may be a problem (for example, photos of artefacts etc.)

Exams officer

• Ensures any sample returned after moderation is logged and returned to the subject teacher for secure storage and required retention

External moderation – the process

Subject teacher

- Ensures that awarding body or its moderator receive the correct samples of candidates' work
- Where relevant, liaises with the awarding body/moderator where the moderator visits the centre to mark the sample of work
- Complies with any request from the moderator for remaining work or further evidence of the centre's marking

External moderation – feedback

Lead Professional

- Checks the final moderated marks when issued to the centre when the results are published
- Checks moderator reports and ensures that any remedial action, if necessary, is undertaken before the next exam series

Exams officer

- Accesses or signposts moderator reports to relevant staff
- Takes remedial action, if necessary, where feedback may relate to centre administration

Access arrangements

Subject teacher

• Works with the SENCo to ensure any access arrangements for eligible candidates are applied to assessments

Special educational needs coordinator (SENCo)

- Follows the regulations and guidance in the JCQ publication <u>Access Arrangements and</u> <u>Reasonable Adjustments</u> in relation to non-examination assessments including <u>Reasonable</u> <u>Adjustments for GCE A-level sciences – Endorsement of practical skills</u>
- Where arrangements do not undermine the integrity of the qualification and is the candidate's normal way of working, will ensure access arrangements are in place and awarding body approval, where required, has been obtained prior to assessments taking place
- Makes subject teachers aware of any access arrangements for eligible candidates which need to be applied to assessments
- Works with subject teachers to ensure requirements for access arrangement candidates requiring the support of a facilitator in assessments are met
- Ensures that staff acting as an access arrangement facilitator are fully trained in their role

Special consideration and loss of work

Subject teacher

- Understands that a candidate may be eligible for special consideration in assessments in certain situations where a candidate is absent and/or produces a reduced quantity of work
- Liaises with the exams officer when special consideration may need to be applied for a candidate taking assessments
- Liaises with the exams officer to report loss of work to the awarding body

Exams officer

- Refers to/directs relevant staff to the JCQ publication <u>A guide to the special consideration</u> process
- Where a candidate is eligible, submits an application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site to the prescribed timescale
- Where application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site is not applicable, submits the required form to the awarding body to the prescribed timescale
- Keeps required evidence on file to support the application
- Refers to/directs relevant staff to <u>Form 15 JCQ/LCW</u> and where applicable submits to the relevant awarding body

Malpractice

Head of centre

- Understands the responsibility to immediately report to the relevant awarding body any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice involving candidates, teachers, invigilators or other administrative staff
- Is familiar with the JCQ publication <u>Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments:</u> <u>Policies and Procedures</u>
- Ensures that those members of teaching staff involved in the direct supervision of candidates producing non-examination assessment are aware of the potential for malpractice and ensures that teaching staff are reminded that failure to report allegations of malpractice or suspected malpractice constitutes malpractice in itself

Subject teacher

- Is aware of the JCQ <u>Notice to Centres Sharing NEA material and candidates' work to mitigate</u> against candidate and centre malpractice
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document <u>Information for candidates non-</u> examination assessments
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document Information for candidates Social Media
- Escalates and reports any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice involving candidates to the head of centre

Exams officer

- Signposts the JCQ publication <u>Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments: Policies</u> <u>and Procedures</u> to the head of centre
- Signposts the JCQ <u>Notice to Centres Sharing NEA material and candidates' work</u> to subject heads
- Signposts candidates to the relevant JCQ information for candidates documents
- Where required, supports the head of centre in investigating and reporting incidents of alleged, suspected or actual malpractice

Post-results services

Head of centre

- Is familiar with the JCQ publication <u>Post-Results Services</u>
- Ensures the centre's *internal appeals procedures* clearly detail the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against a centre decision not to support a review of results or an appeal

Lead Professional

• Provides relevant support to subject teachers making decisions about reviews of results

Subject teacher

- Provides advice and guidance to candidates on their results and the post-results services available
- Provides the exams officer with the original sample or relevant sample of candidates' work that may be required for a review of moderation to the internal deadline
- Supports the exams officer in collecting candidate consent where required

Exams officer

- Is aware of the individual post-results services available for externally assessed and internally assessed components of non-examination assessments as detailed in the JCQ publication <u>Post-Results Services</u> (Information and guidance to centres...)
- Provides/signposts relevant centre staff and candidates to post-results services information
- Ensures any requests for post-results services that are available to non-examination assessments are submitted online via the awarding body secure extranet site to deadline
- Collects candidate consent where required

Practical Skills Endorsement for the A Level Sciences designed for use in England

Head of centre

- Provides a signed declaration as part of the National Centre Number Register Annual Update, that all reasonable steps have been or will be taken to ensure that all candidates at the centre have had, or will have, the opportunity to undertake the prescribed practical activities
- Ensures new lead teachers undertake the required training provided by the awarding body on the implementation of the practical endorsement
- Ensures relevant centre staff liaise with all relevant parties in relation to arrangements for and conduct of the monitoring visit

Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

• Ensures the appropriate arrangements are in place for implementing the requirements of the practical endorsement appropriately and applying the assessment criteria correctly

Lead Professional

- Confirms understanding of the *Practical Skills Endorsement for the A Level Sciences designed for use in England* and ensures any relevant JCQ/awarding body instructions are followed
- Ensures where the centre intends to enter candidates for the first time for one or more of the A level subjects, the relevant awarding body will be contacted at the beginning of the course
- Undertakes any training provided by the awarding body on the implementation of the practical endorsement
- Disseminates information to subject teachers ensuring the standards can be applied appropriately
- Liaises with all relevant parties in relation to arrangements for and conduct of a monitoring visit

Subject teacher

- Ensures all the JCQ/awarding body requirements/instructions in relation to the endorsement are known, understood and followed
- Ensures the required arrangements for practical activities are in place
- Provides all the required centre records
- Ensures candidates provide the required records
- Provides any required information to the subject lead regarding the monitoring visit
- Assesses candidates using Common Practical Assessment Criteria (CPAC)
- Applies for an exemption where a candidate cannot access the practical endorsement due to a substantial impairment
- Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of candidates *Pass* or *Not Classified* assessment outcome/provides assessment outcomes to the exams officer to the internal deadline

Exams officer

- Accepts contact with the monitor and pass information to the subject lead for a visit to be arranged with at least two weeks notice
- Confirms with the subject teacher that assessment outcomes have been submitted to the awarding body to the external deadline/Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of candidates Pass or Not Classified assessment outcome

Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England

Head of centre

• Provides a signed declaration as part of the National Centre Number Register Annual Update, that all reasonable steps have been or will be taken to ensure that all candidates at the centre have had, or will have, the opportunity to undertake the Spoken Language endorsement

Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

• Ensures the appropriate arrangements are in place for internal standardisation of assessments

Lead Professional

- Confirms understanding of the Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England and ensures any relevant JCQ/awarding body instructions are followed
- Ensures the required task setting and task taking instructions are followed by subject teachers
- Ensures subject teachers assess candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- Ensures for monitoring purposes, audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates are provided

Subject teacher

- Ensures all the requirements in relation to the endorsement are known and understood
- Follows the required task setting and task taking instructions
- Assesses candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- Provides audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates for monitoring purposes
- Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades (*Pass, Merit, Distinction* or *Not Classified*) and the storage and submission of recordings

Exams officer

• Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades and recordings

Private candidates

Lead Professional

- According to centre policy, confirms if private candidates (including distance learners and home educated candidates) are accepted by the centre for entry for subjects containing components of non-examination assessment (where the specification may be made available to private candidates by the awarding body)
- Ensures relevant staff in the centre administer all aspects of the non-examination assessment process for a private candidate, according to the awarding body's specification

Internal Appeals Procedure

Policy on Assessments for Qualifications with English Awarding Bodies

In accordance with the Code of Practice for the conduct of external qualifications produced by the QCA, Lordswood Girls' School is committed to ensuring that:

- Staff that have the appropriate knowledge, understanding and skills conducts assessments.
- Assessment evidence provided by candidates is produced and authenticated according to the requirements of the relevant Specifications for each subject.
- The consistency of assessment is assured through internal standardisation as set out by the Awarding Bodies.
- Staff responsible for internal standardisation and/or assessment attends any compulsory training sessions.

Written Appeals Procedure

Each Awarding Body publishes procedures for appeals against its decisions, and the Assessment & Data Manager will be able to advise pupils and parents of these procedures.

The Awarding Body may make appeals to the school regarding the procedures used in internal assessment, but not the actual marks or grades submitted by the school for moderation.

A pupil or parent wishing to appeal against the procedure used in controlled assessments should contact the Assessment & Data Manager, Mrs Evans, as soon as possible to discuss the appeal, and the school must receive a written appeal *at least two weeks before the date of the last external exam in the subject.*

On receipt of a written appeal, the Assessment & data Manager and the Quality Nominee Mrs Verma, will conduct an enquiry into the assessment. This enquiry will consider whether the procedures used in the assessment conformed to the published requirements of the Awarding Body.

The appellant will be informed in writing of the outcome of the appeal, including details of any relevant communication with the Awarding Body and of any steps taken to further protect the interests of the candidates. Learners who are not satisfied with the outcome of the centre appeal may apply in writing to the Awarding Body within 90 days of the assessment.

Enquiries on Results/Remarks:

In cases of EAR where the school does not uphold a request for enquiry, the student would normally pay for the enquiry or consequent appeal. A similar procedure to that mentioned above will be carried out.

Statement for Pupils:

"If at any stage during your exam courses you have concerns about procedures used in assessing your internally marked work for public exams (e.g. coursework / portfolio / projects) you should see the Assessment & data Manager, Mrs Evans, as soon as possible".

Coursework & Controlled Assessment Fraud:

The following constitute illegal practices with regard to examination coursework and controlled assessments:

- Plagiarising other people's work using the internet
- Copying the work of other students
- Joint working on pieces of work where the requirement is that it is the sole work of the candidate
- Attempting to gain credit from someone else's work
- Accessory to a candidate involved in one of the above practices
- Obstruction of justice by having knowledge of the fraudulent submission of work by candidates
- Obstruction of the investigation by denying an offence which is then found to have been committed

Candidates are required to sign a statement of authenticity on which they confirm that they have read and adhered to the examination board regulations.

Subject teachers sign statements that all candidates have been made aware of the rules relating to coursework and controlled assessments.

Procedures in place to uncover cheating and fraud by candidates include:

- Computer programs are used by the examination board that highlight plagiarism from common internet sources.
- Moderation and sampling by both subject teachers and the examination board
- Discrepancies in the production or quality of work between the on-going work done during the course and that submitted for grading
- Teacher suspicion or judgement

If the school or examination board suspect fraud has been committed, an investigation will take place. This will include:

- A statement from the accused student
- Statement(s) from subject teacher(s) including evidence
- Examining ICT activity on the school network

The evidence once collated will be presented to the Senior Leadership Team. If there is agreed evidence that a student has been involved in malpractice then the evidence will be forwarded to the examination board who will make a judgement on the appropriate action to take. They will communicate this to the school, the student and/or their parents. The school will then impose its own independent disciplinary action.

The type of action that the Joint Council for Qualifications or the examination board may impose will depend upon the circumstances of each individual case but may include one of the following:

- Leave disciplinary action to the discretion of the school
- A formal warning
- Zero marks for the plagiarised component
- Zero marks for all the papers that make up that subject
- Cancellation and withdrawal from all examinations administered by one or more examination board for the current year, a fixed number of years or permanently.

What action the school will take:

Students that cheat compromise the credibility of the school and put at risk the school's status as an examination centre. If the examination boards are not satisfied with measures put in place by the school to deter malpractice and disciplinary measures in place as a consequence of malpractice our status as a centre could be withdrawn. This would be extremely costly to the school and would seriously inconvenience and disadvantage all the students at the school.

The school will protect the interests of all the students who have earned their grades through hard work.

Disciplinary action imposed by the school will include one or more of the following:

- Disqualify the plagiarised work
- Withdrawal from one or more subjects
- A meeting with parents to discuss the consequences of fraud
- A requirement that all examination work is completed under supervised isolation, invigilation costs being met by parents in advance
- The student will be placed in isolation for as many days that the Senior Leadership Team deems appropriate
- The plagiarised unit will be re-produced during the time the student is in isolation
- The student may be required to pay for all examination entries.

The school provide references for students to enable them to gain College, University and employment places with a requirement to comment on the honesty of the applicant.

All fraud is illegal and it is an offence to gain employment by deception. Therefore any student, who gains examination grades fraudulently, commits a crime every time those grades are used on applications for employment.

Management of issues and potential risks associated with non-examination assessments

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
Task setting		
Awarding body set task: IT	Awarding body key date for accessing/downloading set task	LP
failure/corruption of task	noted prior to start of course	AEV
details where set task details	IT systems checked prior to key date	LVE
accessed from the awarding	Alternative IT system used to gain access	WMA
body online	Awarding body contacted to request direct email of task details	
Centre set task: Subject teacher	Ensures that subject teachers access awarding body training	LP
fails to meet the assessment	information, practice materials etc.	LVE
criteria as detailed in the	Records confirmation that subject teachers understand the task	
specification	setting arrangements as defined in the awarding body's	
	specification	
	Samples assessment criteria in the centre set task	
Candidates do not understand	A simplified version of the awarding body's marking criteria	LP
the marking criteria and what	described in the specification that is not specific to the work of an	2.
they need to do to gain credit	individual candidate or group of candidates is produced for	
	candidates	
	Records confirm all candidates understand the marking criteria	
	Candidates confirm/record they understand the marking criteria	
Subject teacher long term	See centre's exam contingency plan - Teaching staff extended	KCN
absence during the task setting	absence at key points in the exam cycle	LVE
stage		
Issuing of tasks		
Task for legacy specification	Ensures subject teachers take care to distinguish between	
given to candidates undertaking	requirements/tasks for legacy specifications and	
new specification	requirements/tasks for new specifications	LP
new specification	Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains	
	unresolved	
Awarding body set task not	Awarding body key date for accessing set task as detailed in the	
issued to candidates on time	specification noted prior to start of course	LP
issued to candidates on time	<i>Course information issued to candidates contains details when set</i>	LF
	task will be issued and needs to be completed by	
	Set task accessed well in advance to allow time for planning,	
	resourcing and teaching	
The wrong task is given to	Ensures course planning and information taken from the	
The wrong task is given to candidates	awarding body's specification confirms the correct task will be	LP
candidates	issued to candidates	
		LVE
	Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved	AEV
	unresolved	
Subject teacher long term	See centre's even contingency plan Teaching staff extended	KCN
	See centre's exam contingency plan - Teaching staff extended	LVE
absence during the issuing of	absence at key points in the exam cycle	
tasks stage	Frances the several details are sententian data wat for the part of the	
A candidate (or parent/carer)	Ensures the candidate's presentation does not form part of the	
expresses concern about	sample which will be recorded	LP
safeguarding, confidentiality or	Contacts the awarding body at the earliest opportunity where	AEV
faith in undertaking a task such	unable to record the required number of candidates for the	
as a presentation that may be	monitoring sample	
recorded		

Task taking		
Supervision		
Planned assessments clash with	Assessment plan identified for the start of the course	
other centre or candidate	Assessment dates/periods included in centre wide calendar	AEV
activities		LP
Rooms or facilities inadequate	Timetabling organised to allocate appropriate rooms and IT	
for candidates to take tasks	facilities for the start of the course	AEV
under appropriate supervision	Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities insufficient for	MFO
	number of candidates	
	Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at	
	the same time (exam conditions do not apply)	
Insufficient supervision of	Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ	
candidates to enable work to be	publication Instructions for conducting non-examination	AEV
		ALV
authenticated	assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the	
	awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of	
	candidates	
	Confirm subject teachers understand their role and	
	responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non-examination	
	assessment policy	
A candidate is suspected of	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication	
malpractice prior to submitting	Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (section	KCN
their work for assessment	9 Malpractice) are followed	AEV
	An internal investigation and where appropriate internal	
	disciplinary procedures are followed	
Access arrangements were not	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to	LCO
put in place for an assessment	the special consideration process (section 2), to determine the	AEV
where a candidate is approved	process to be followed to apply for special consideration for the	
for arrangements	candidate	
	Advice and feedback	
Candidate claims appropriate	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to	
advice and feedback not given	record all information provided to candidates before work begins	KCN
by subject teacher prior to	as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures	LP
starting on their work	Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and	LVE
starting on their work	sign-off to confirm monitoring activity	AEV
	Full records kept detailing all information and advice given to	
	candidates prior to starting on their work as appropriate to the	
	subject and component	
	Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given prior to	
Condidate eleiner un eduine and	starting on their work	
Candidate claims no advice and	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to	
feedback given by subject	record all advice and feedback provided to candidates during the	
teacher during the task-taking	task-taking stage as part of the centre's quality assurance	LVE
stage	procedures	
	Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and	
	sign-off to confirm monitoring activity	
	Full records kept detailing all advice and feedback given to	
	candidates during the task-taking stage as appropriate to the	
	subject and component	
	Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given during the	
	task-taking stage	
A third party claims that	An investigation is conducted; candidates and subject teacher are	
assistance was given to	interviewed and statements recorded where relevant	KCN
candidates by the subject	Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all assistance	LVE
	-	
-		
teacher over and above that allowed in the regulations and specification	given Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to the awarding body	LP

Condidate dage not reference	Candidata is advised at a second level to reference informed it	
Candidate does not reference	Candidate is advised at a general level to reference information	
information from published	before work is submitted for formal assessment	
source	Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for	LVE
	candidates: non-examination assessments	AEV
	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning,	
	resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued	
	completion	
Candidate does not set out	Candidate is advised at a general level to review and re-draft the	
references as required	set out of references before work is submitted for formal	LP
	assessment	LVE
	Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for	
	candidates: non-examination assessments	
	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning,	
	resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued	
	completion	
Candidate joins the course late	A separate supervised session(s) is arranged for the candidate to	LP
after formally supervised task	catch up	LVE
taking has started		
Candidate moves to another	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine what can be	AEV
centre during the course	done depending on the stage at which the move takes place	
An excluded pupil wants to	The awarding body specification is checked to determine if the	AEV
complete his/her non-	specification is available to a candidate outside mainstream	
examination assessment(s)	education	
	If so, arrangements for supervision, authentication and marking	
	are made separately for the candidate	
	Resources	1
A candidate augments notes	Preparatory notes and the work to be assessed are collected in	LP
and resources between formally	and kept secure between formally supervised sessions	LVE
supervised sessions	Where memory sticks are used by candidates, these are collected	AEV
	in and kept secure between formally supervised sessions	
	Where work is stored on the centre's network, access for	
	candidates is restricted between formally supervised sessions	
A candidate fails to	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning,	
acknowledge sources on work	resources etc. is checked to confirm all the sources used, including	LP
that is submitted for	books, websites and audio/visual resources	LVE
assessment	Awarding body guidance is sought on whether the work of the	AEV
	candidate should be marked where candidate's detailed records	
	acknowledges sources appropriately	
	Where confirmation is unavailable from candidate's records,	
	awarding body guidance is sought and/or a mark of zero is	
	submitted to the awarding body for the candidate	
A 101 A 10 10 11 11	Word and time limits	
A candidate is penalised by the	Records confirm the awarding body specification has been	LP
awarding body for exceeding	checked to determine if word or time limits are mandatory	LVE
word or time limits	Where limits are for guidance only, candidates are discouraged	AEV
	from exceeding them	
	Candidates confirm/record any information provided to them on	
	word or time limits is known and understood	
	Collaboration and group work	
Candidates have worked in	Records confirm the awarding body specification has been	
groups where the awarding	checked to determine if group work is permitted	LP
body specification states this is	Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains	LVE
not permitted	unresolved	AEV
•		
	1	I

	Authentication procedures	
A teacher has doubts about the	Records confirm subject staff have been made aware of the JCQ	
authenticity of the work	document Teachers sharing assessment material and candidates'	LP
submitted by a candidate for	work	LVE
internal assessment	Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the	AEV
	current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-	
Candidate plagiarises other	examination assessments	
material	Candidates confirm/record that they understand what they need	
	to do to comply with the regulations for non-examination	
	assessments as outlined in the JCQ document Information for	
	candidates: non-examination assessments	
	The candidate's work is not accepted for assessment	
	A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body	
Candidate does not sign their	Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the	LP
authentication	current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-	LVE
statement/declaration	examination assessments	AEV
	Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do	
	to comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document	
	Information for candidates: non-examination assessments	
	Declaration is checked for signature before accepting the work of	
	a candidate for formal assessment	
Subject teacher not available to	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to	LP
sign authentication forms	sign authentication forms at the point of marking candidates	LVE
	work as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures	AEV
	Presentation of work	
Candidate does not fully	Cover sheet is checked to ensure it is fully completed before	LP
complete the awarding body's	accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment	LVE
cover sheet that is attached to		AEV
their worked submitted for		
formal assessment		
	Keeping materials secure	Γ
Candidates work between	Records confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow current	KCN
formal supervised sessions is	JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination	LVE
not securely stored	assessments	LP
	Regular monitoring/internal audit ensures subject teacher use of	
	appropriate secure storage	
Adequate secure storage not	Records confirm adequate/sufficient secure storage is available to	AEV
available to subject teacher	subject teacher prior to the start of the course	
	Alternative secure storage sourced where required	
Task marking – externally assess		I
A candidate is absent on the	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine if alternative	AEV
day of the examiner visit for an	assessment arrangements can be made for the candidate	LP
acceptable reason	If not, eligibility for special consideration is explored and a	
	request submitted to the awarding body where appropriate	
A candidate is absent on the	The candidate is marked absent on the attendance register	AEV
day of the examiner visit for an		
unacceptable reason		
Task marking – internally assessed		
A candidate submits little or no	Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate is recorded as	LP
work	absent when marks are submitted to the awarding body	LVE
	Where a candidate submits little work, the work produced is	AEV
	assessed against the assessment criteria and a mark allocated	
	appropriately; where the work does not meet any of the	
	assessment criteria a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding	
	body	

A candidate is unable to finish	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to	AEV
their work for unforeseen	the special consideration process (section 5), to determine	
reason	eligibility and the process to be followed for shortfall in work	
The work of a candidate is lost	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication Instructions	KCN
or damaged	for conducting non-examination assessments (section 8), to	LVE
	determine eligibility and the process to be followed for lost or	AEV
	damaged work	
Candidate malpractice is	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication	KCN
discovered	Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (section	LVE
	9 Malpractice) are followed	AEV
	Investigation and reporting procedures in the current JCQ	
	publication Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and	
	Assessments are followed	
	Appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are also followed	
A teacher marks the work of	A conflict of interest is declared by informing the awarding body	
his/her own child	that a teacher is teaching his/her own child at the start of the	KCN
	course	LVE
	Marked work of said child is submitted for moderation whether	AEV
	part of the sample requested or not	
An extension to the deadline for	Awarding body is contacted to determine if an extension can be	
submission of marks is required	granted	
for a legitimate reason	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to	KCN
	the special consideration process (section 5), to determine	LVE
	eligibility and the process to be followed for non-examination	AEV
	assessment extension	
After submission of marks, it is	Awarding body is contacted for guidance	KCN
discovered that the wrong task	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to	LVE
was given to candidates	the special consideration process (section 2), to determine	AEV
	eligibility and the process to be followed to apply for special	
	consideration for candidates	
A candidate wishes to	Candidates are informed of the marks they have been awarded	KCN
appeal/request a review of the	for their work prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding	LVE
marks awarded for their work	body	AEV
by their teacher	Records confirm candidates have been informed of their marks	
	Candidates are informed that these marks are subject to change	
	through the awarding body's moderation process	
	Candidates are informed of their marks to the timescale identified	
	in the centre's internal appeals procedure and prior to the	
	internal deadline set by the exams officer for the submission of	
	marks	
	Through the candidate exam handbook, candidates are made	
	aware of the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale	
	for submitting an appeal/request for a review of the centre's	
	marking prior to the submission of marks to the awarding body	
Deadline for submitting work	Records confirm deadlines given and understood by candidates at	LP
for formal assessment not met	the start of the course	LVE
by candidate	Candidates confirm/record deadlines known and understood	AEV
	Depending on the circumstances, awarding body guidance sought	
	to determine if the work can be accepted late for marking	
	providing the awarding body's deadline for submitting marks can	
	be met	
	Decision made (depending on the circumstances) if the work will	
	be accepted late for marking or a mark of zero submitted to the	
	awarding body for the candidate	

Deadline for submitting marks and samples of candidates work	Internal/external deadlines are published at the start of each academic year	LP LVE
ignored by subject teacher	Reminders are issued through senior leaders/subject heads as deadlines approach Records confirm deadlines known and understood by subject teachers Where appropriate, internal disciplinary procedures are followed	AEV
Subject teacher long term absence during the marking period	See centre's exam contingency plan (Teaching staff extended absence at key points in the exam cycle)	KCN LVE